

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONTRIBUTION | |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 00/710 015 | 12/0/1200 | | ATTORNET DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 09/719,015 | 12/06/2000 | Yoshihito Ishibashi | 450108-02585 | 3145 | |
| 20999 · 75 | *************************************** | | EXAMINER | | |
| | AWRENCE & HAUG ENUE- 10TH FL. | | HOFFMAN, BRANDON S | | |
| NEW YORK, N | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2136 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004 | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | 09/719,015 | ISHIBASHI ET AL. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Auvisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brandon Hoffman | 2136 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whical (with appeal fee); or (3) a time | cation. A proper rep ich places the appli | ply to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or | See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal | period set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | | | | | | |
| (a) | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does No | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | ' to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Independent claim 8 adds the new limitations "sent in response to said proxy account settlement information" on page 2, lines 18-19, and "response to receiving ... information processing apparatus" on page 3, lines 6-8. These additions require further consideration and/or search for such issues as 112(2).

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100